## Exhibit A

```
L465secC
1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
      SECURITIES and EXCHANGE
      COMMISSION,
 4
                     Plaintiff,
5
                                                20 Civ. 10832 (AT)(SN)
                 V.
 6
                                                Remote Proceeding
 7
      RIPPLE LABS, INC., et al.,
 8
                     Defendants.
9
                                                New York, N.Y.
10
                                                April 6, 2021
                                                2:00 p.m.
11
      Before:
12
                            HON. SARAH NETBURN,
13
                                                U.S. Magistrate Judge
14
15
                                 APPEARANCES
16
      SECURITIES and EXCHANGE COMMISSION
17
           Attorneys for Plaintiff SEC
      BY: JORGE G. TENREIRO
           DUGAN BLISS
18
           DAPHNA A. WAXMAN
19
           JON A. DANIELS
           LADAN STEWART
20
      CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON, LLP
21
           Attorneys for Defendant Bradley Garlinghouse
      BY: MATTHEW SOLOMON
22
      PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP
           Attorneys for Defendant Christian A. Larsen
23
      BY: MARTIN FLUMENBAUM
24
           MICHAEL GERTZMAN
           MEREDITH DEARBORN
25
```

L465secC

I am just looking at the actual requests themselves. I know we have been talking about requests 4, 7, 8, 11, and 14. Search all of the relevant repositories for documents and discovery related to communications to third-parties. In addition, I am ordering that discovery be conducted of all 19 custodians. I don't think that the SEC's arguments, as set forth within their letters and again today, are a legitimate basis given the relevancy standard to preclude discovery here. 19 custodians for an incredibly high-stakes, high-value litigation is not unreasonable, and given the three different categories of grounds not to produce documents, I don't think that that is a legitimate basis so I am going to direct that the SEC search all 19 custodians for relevant and responsive documents.

I am going to deny in part the request for discovery that is internal, and specifically internal, for instance e-mail communications between what I will call the SEC staff to SEC staff. I think that that communication both is less relevant as it goes to how the outside world -- how the market is considering XRP and how the individual defendants, how it affects their reasonable belief, and I also think that there are likely to be extensive privilege issues there and I think it has the potential to seriously chill government deliberations and so I am not going to require communications to be produced that are internal e-mail communications within the agency. If you want the parties to meet and confer -- and